Fagan, E.J. (2019). Issue ownership and the priorities of party elites in the United States, 2004–2016. *Party Politics*. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068819839212">https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068819839212</a>

## **Online Appendix**

Appendix Table 1: Comparative Agendas Project coding and think tank white paper examples

Major Topic Area	Example	Think Tank
	"Food Safety: Background, Analysis and	
Agriculture	Recommendations"	American Enterprise Institute
	"The Unintended Consequences of Section 5	-
Civil Rights	of the Voting Rights Act"	American Enterprise Institute
	"Is There a Way to Create a Transatlantic	-
Commerce	Securities Market?"	American Enterprise Institute
	"Afghanistan: Zero Troops Should Not Be an	
Defense	Option"	Heritage Foundation
		Center for American
Education	"The Future of Teacher Compensation"	Progress
	"Evaluating the Case for Renewable Energy: Is	-
Energy	Government Support Warranted?"	Cato Institute
	"Impact of the Waxman-Markey Climate	
Environment	Change Legislation on the States"	Heritage Foundation
Foreign Affairs	"To Pay Ransom or Not to Pay Ransom?"	New America Foundation
Gov. Operations	"Privatizing the U.S. Postal Service"	Cato Institute
	Health Policy Brief: Governance Issues for	Center on Budget and Policy
Health	Health Insurance Exchanges	Priorities
	"Retrofitting Foreclosed Homes: A Matter of	Center for American
Housing	Public Trust"	Progress
	A Bureaucratic Nightmare: The Senate's	
Immigration	Temporary Guest Worker Program	Heritage Foundation
Labor	"Pay Laws Making a Difference Across U.S."	Economic Policy Institute
	"Why Prohibitions on Internet Gambling	
Law and Crime	Won't Work"	Cato Institute
	"A Territorial Tax System Would Create Jobs	
Macroeconomics	and Raise Wages for U.S. Workers"	Heritage Foundation
	"A Continued Push for Reform Is Needed on	Center for American
Public Lands	Public Lands' Energy Leasing"	Progress
Science and	FCC Comments on "Specialized Services" and	
Comm.	Wireless Open Internet Rules	New America Foundation
	"Would Private Accounts Provide A Higher	Center on Budget and Policy
Social Welfare	Rate Of Return than Social Security?"	Priorities
	"Currency Manipulation and the 896,600 U.S.	
Trade	Jobs Lost Due to the U.SJapan Trade Deficit"	Economic Policy Institute
	"Fix the Drains (and Trains and Bridges)—and	
Transportation	Train the Fixers"	Manhattan Institute

Appendix Table 2: Issue Ownership Values by Comparative Agendas Project Major Policy Topic Area

		Binary
Major Topic Area	Egan (2013) <sup>12</sup>	Ownership <sup>3</sup>
Agriculture	0	Neither
Civil Rights	n/a	n/a
Defense	14	Republican
Domestic Commerce	n/a	Republican
Education	-10	Democratic
Energy	-3	Neither
Environment	-18	Democratic
Foreign Affairs	6	Republican
Government Operations	0	Neither
Health Care	-12	Democratic
Housing	n/a	Democratic
Immigration	9	Republican
Labor	-12	Democratic
Law and Crime	7	Republican
Macroeconomics	1	Both
Public Lands	0	Neither
Science and Communication	0	Neither
Social Welfare	-14	Democratic
Trade	5	Republican
Transportation	0	Neither

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Values are the coefficient on Egan's (2013) estimate of long-run issue ownership coefficients in public opinion surveys. Negative scores are more Democratic, positive scores are more Republican. Defense is assigned the average of Egan's "Domestic Security" and "Military" category. Macroeconomics is assigned the average of "Inflation,", "Taxes," "Economy," "Jobs," and "Inequality" categories. Agriculture, government operations, public lands, science and communication and transportation policy were coded=0. No data exist for these areas, all of which tend to have very low levels of party polarization and issue salience (Jochim & Jones, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Civil rights excluded due to conflicting issues contained in the Comparative Agendas Project coding (abortion and civil rights issues), and its failure to meet Egan's consensus issue criteria. Domestic commerce, and housing excluded due to a lack of survey data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Binary values are coded=1 to the issue area where Egan's data are greater than 5 or less than -5. For housing and commerce, there is no data. I assigned housing to Democrats as a dimension of social welfare policy and Domestic Commerce to Republicans as a dimension of their advantage on "big government" business or regulatory policy (Petrocik, Benoit and Hansen 2004).